

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Jessica Martinez-Arriaga,

Plaintiff
v.
Briggs Management LLC, et al.,

Defendants

Case No.: 2:23-cv-01845-JAD-NJK

**Order Striking Plaintiff's Response to
Defendants' Motion for Summary
Judgment and Denying Pending Motions
as Moot**

[ECF Nos. 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53]

In July 2024, Defendants' Briggs Management LLC and Briggs Management Inc. filed a motion for summary judgment in this employment-discrimination case. In late August, Plaintiff Jessica Martinez-Arriaga filed her response in four separate docket entries.¹ Her filing is deficient for several reasons. First, she separated her evidentiary objections and statement of disputed and undisputed facts from the body of her response and into separate filings.² Local Rule 56-1 requires that those elements be incorporated into the response itself and count toward the 30-page limit set by Local Rule 7-3(a). Second, Martinez-Arriaga filed her exhibits as a single, separate docket entry without an exhibit index or properly labeled exhibit cover sheets.³ Local Rules IC 22-2 and IA 10-3 require a party filing exhibits to provide an index of exhibits, each exhibit must include a cover sheet describing the exhibit's contents, and each exhibit must be attached a separate document linked to the base document.

¹ ECF Nos. 48, 49, 40, 51.

² ECF Nos. 50, 51.

³ ECF No. 49.

1 Because Martinez-Arriaga's response, when including the statement of facts and
 2 evidentiary objections, exceeds the page limit for responses to a summary-judgment motion and
 3 her exhibits are improperly filed, I strike the response and all related filings in their entirety and
 4 order counsel to refile a single response that complies with the page limit and local rules
 5 governing exhibits. I also deny as moot Martinez-Arriaga's motion to retroactively extend the
 6 deadline to file her response⁴ and the parties' stipulation for an extension of time to file Briggs
 7 Management's reply⁵ because this order effectively resets those deadlines.

8 Conclusion

9 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the **Clerk of Court is directed to STRIKE ECF**
 10 **Nos. 48, 49, 50, and 51. Jessica Martinez-Arriaga has until Monday, September 22, 2024,** to
 11 file a proper response to the motion for summary judgment. Briggs Management's reply will be
 12 due **Monday, October 7, 2024.**

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Martinez-Arriaga's motion to extend time and the
 14 parties' stipulation to extend time **[ECF Nos. 52 & 53] are DENIED as moot.**

15
 16 
 17 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
 18 September 11, 2024
 19
 20
 21
 22

23 ⁴ ECF No. 52.

⁵ ECF No. 53.